



Staff: DHANYA V V

Subject: MA201 - Linear Algebra & Complex Analysis

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 62** Overall Score: 84.43


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	46.77%	514.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	25	40.32%	353.25
		Fair	10.28	6	9.68%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	2	3.23%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	33.87%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	30	48.39%	354.3
		Fair	8.11	9	14.52%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	1	1.61%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.61%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	30	48.39%	225
	3. Teachers willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	25	40.32%	142.75
		Fair	4.04	3	4.84%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	4	6.45%	4.52
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	42	67.74%	245.7
	4. Approximate 70 of classes (NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	6.45%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	7	11.29%	13.37
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	14.52%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	67.74%	168
		Yes	0.67	12	19.35%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.65	8	12.9%	13.2
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	54.84%	314.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	23	37.1%	145.82
		Inadequate	2.50	3	4.84%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.23%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	67.74%	199.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	14	22.58%	18.76
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	9.68%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	44	70.97%	302.72
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	15	24.19%	58.35
		No	0.74	1	1.61%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.23%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52	83.87%	351
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.45%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	4.84%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	4.84%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	56	90.32%	644
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.61%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	8.06%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6 Overall Tanching officialis	Excellent	11.25	30	48.39%	337.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	23	37.1%	207.69
		Fair	6.46	6	9.68%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	2	3.23%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.61%	2.03

# **Strength and Weakness**

• lecturing

## **Special Remarks**

• notes providing







Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19

Staff: DR. GEETHA KUMARI B.

Subject: ME201 - Mechanics of Solids Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 61** 

Tions I						
ection	Question	Option	Mark	Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	65.57%	710
	1. Subject Islie Wiedge	Good	14.13	17	27.87%	240.2
		Fair	10.28	4	6.56%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	47.54%	420.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	28	45.9%	330.6
		Fair	8.11	3	4.92%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.64%	2.01
ction		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	65.57% 27.87% 6.56% 0% 0% 0% 47.54% 4.92% 1.64% 6.56% 0% 0% 52.46% 40.98% 6.56% 0% 75.41% 8.2% 3.28% 13.11% 1.64% 50.82% 49.18% 0% 0% 83.61% 9.84% 6.56% 68.85% 27.87% 1.64% 4.92% 1.64% 4.92% 95.08% 1.64% 4.92% 95.08% 1.64% 57.38%	240
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	25	40.98%	142.7
		Fair	4.04	4		16.16
2		Poor	1.13	0		0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0		0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	46	_	269.1
		10 to 25	3.66	5		18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8		13.12
		No No	4.00	52		208
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	8		5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	1		1.65
		Excellent	9.25	31		286.7
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture		6.34	30	_	190.2
		Satisfactory	2.50	0		0
		Inadequate		+ -		_
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0% 47.54% 45.9% 4.92% 1.64% 0% 52.46% 40.98% 6.56% 0% 0% 75.41% 8.2% 3.28% 13.11% 1.64% 50.82% 49.18% 0% 0% 83.61% 9.84% 6.56% 68.85% 27.87% 1.64% 1.64% 88.52% 4.92% 1.64% 4.92% 95.08% 1.64% 3.28% 0% 57.38%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	51		242.2
		Too Fast	1.34	6	47.54% 45.9% 4.92% 1.64% 0% 52.46% 40.98% 6.56% 0% 75.41% 8.2% 3.28% 13.11% 85.25% 13.11% 1.64% 50.82% 49.18% 0% 0% 83.61% 9.84% 6.56% 68.85% 27.87% 1.64% 1.64% 88.52% 4.92% 1.64% 4.92% 95.08% 1.64% 3.28% 0% 57.38% 31.15% 11.48%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	4		5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42		288.9
		Some Times	3.89	17	0% 0% 47.54% 45.9% 4.92% 1.64% 0% 52.46% 40.98% 6.56% 0% 0% 75.41% 8.2% 3.28% 13.11% 1.64% 50.82% 49.18% 0% 0% 83.61% 9.84% 6.56% 68.85% 27.87% 1.64% 88.52% 4.92% 9.50.8% 1.64% 3.28% 0% 57.38% 31.15% 11.48%	66.13
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	_	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54		364.5
		Indifferent	2.78	3		8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1		1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	4.92%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	58	95.08%	667
	3. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.28%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	35	57.38%	393.
	o. Overall reaching effectiveliess	Good	9.03	19	_	171.5
		Fair	6.46	7	_	45.22
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0

# **Strength and Weakness**

- teaching skill
- $\bullet$  good

## **Special Remarks**

- notes
- hardworking and kind

**Head of The Department** 





Staff: DR. GEETHA KUMARI B.

**Subject: MEL203 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 60** Overall Score: 90.13


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	56.67%	603.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	22	36.67%	310.86
		Fair	10.28	4	6.67%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	34	56.67%	493
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	21	35%	248.01
		Fair	8.11	5	8.33%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	53.33%	240
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	23	38.33%	131.33
		Fair	4.04	5	8.33%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	47	78.33%	274.95
	4. Approximate /6 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	11.67%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.67%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	8.33%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	49	81.67%	196
		Yes	0.67	10	16.67%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.67%	1.65
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	38	63.33%	351.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	21	35%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.67%	3.16
	2 51-6	Just Right	4.75	54	90%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	6.67%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.33%	2.8
	2. Daniel de de alemane	Yes	6.88	43	71.67%	295.84
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	23.33%	54.46
		No	0.74	2	3.33%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.67%	1.78
	4 Delegain - Calca Accelera	Pleasant	6.75	54	90%	364.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	6.67%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.33%	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	57	95%	655.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.33%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	1	1.67%	4.03
	( O . 11.T. 1'	Excellent	11.25	37	61.67%	416.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	20	33.33%	180.6
		Fair	6.46	3	5%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

# **Strength and Weakness**

• Better presentation

## **Special Remarks**

• Subject knowledge







Staff: DR.SHYJI S.

Subject: ME203 - Mechanics of Fluids Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 59** Overall Score: 80.05


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	49.15%	514.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	20	33.9%	282.6
		Fair	10.28	6	10.17%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	2	3.39%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.39%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	28.81%	246.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	22	37.29%	259.82
		Fair	8.11	11	18.64%	89.21
		Poor	2.01	4	6.78%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	5	8.47%	20.45
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	38.98%	172.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	24	40.68%	137.04
		Fair	4.04	8	13.56%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	1	1.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	5.08%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	66.1%	228.15
	4. Approximate /0 of classes (vol engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	10.17%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	6	10.17%	11.46
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	13.56%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	69.49%	164
		Yes	0.67	13	22.03%	8.71
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.47%	8.25
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	37.29%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	26	44.07%	164.84
		Inadequate	2.50	7	11.86%	17.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.78%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	64.41%	180.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	14	23.73%	18.76
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	11.86%	9.8
	2. Dong the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	62.71%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	23.73%	54.46
		No	0.74	5	8.47%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.08%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	49	83.05%	330.75
	4. Beliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.39%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.39%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.17%	11.64
	5 Cincepity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	50	84.75%	575
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	7	11.86%	35.42
		Blank	4.03	2	3.39%	8.06
	6 Overall Tanking affactives	Excellent	11.25	22	37.29%	247.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	21	35.59%	189.63
		Fair	6.46	11	18.64%	71.06
		Poor	1.56	3	5.08%	4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.39%	4.06

# **Strength and Weakness**

• Good subject knowledge

## **Special Remarks**

• Teaching skill







Staff: DR.SHYJI S.

**Subject: MEL201 - COMPUTER AIDED MACHINE DRAWING** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 59** Overall Score: 81.7


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	52.54%	550.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	28.81%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	7	11.86%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	1	1.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	5.08%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	40.68%	348
	2. Clarity and Chaersanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	19	32.2%	224.39
		Fair	8.11	11	18.64%	89.21
		Poor	2.01	3	5.08%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.39%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	45.76%	202.5
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	19	32.2%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	9	15.25%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	3	5.08%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	67.8%	234
		10 to 25	3.66	6	10.17%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	4	6.78%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	15.25%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	72.88%	172
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	11.86%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	15.25%	14.85
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	23	38.98%	212.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	28	47.46%	177.52
		Inadequate	2.50	4	6.78%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.78%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	69.49%	194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	9	15.25%	12.06
		Can't Judge	1.40	9	15.25%	12.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	62.71%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	16	27.12%	62.24
		No	0.74	3	5.08%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.08%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	48	81.36%	324
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.08%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.39%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10.17%	11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	48	81.36%	552
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	7	11.86%	35.42
		Blank	4.03	4	6.78%	16.12
	( Occupally Translation of Continue	Excellent	11.25	24	40.68%	270
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	22	37.29%	198.66
		Fair	6.46	7	11.86%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	3	5.08%	4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.08%	6.09

# **Strength and Weakness**

• presentation skill

## **Special Remarks**

• Good teaching





### **Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering**

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: SRI. GIJOY S.

Subject: ME210 - Metallurgy & Materials Engineering

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021
Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 59 Overall Score: 87.01

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	59.32%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	28.81%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	5	8.47%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	1	1.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	40.68%	348
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	26	44.07%	307.06
		Fair	8.11	6	10.17%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	3.39%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	55.93%	247.5
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	22	37.29%	125.62
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	3	5.08%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	46	77.97%	269.1
		10 to 25	3.66	4	6.78%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.08%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	10.17%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	79.66%	188
		Yes	0.67	5	8.47%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	11.86%	11.55
2	1 m 1 199	Excellent	9.25	32	54.24%	296
_	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	22	37.29%	139.48
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.47%	15.8
		Just Right	4.75	46	77.97%	218.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	8	13.56%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	8.47%	7
		Yes	6.88	41	69.49%	282.08
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	13	22.03%	50.57
		No	0.74	3	5.08%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.39%	3.56
		Pleasant	6.75	53	89.83%	357.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.08%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.28	3	5.08%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	56	94.92%	644
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge		+		<u> </u>
			5.06	2	3.39%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1 27	1.69%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	27	45.76%	303.75
		Good	9.03	24	40.68%	216.72
		Fair	6.46	5	8.47%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	1.69%	1.56
	and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.39%	4.06

### Strength and Weakness

- Good lecturing
- Strength is teaching quality

# **Special Remarks**

• Better subject knowledge

**Head of The Department** 





Staff: SRI. ANOOP M.S.

**Subject: MEL201 - COMPUTER AIDED MACHINE DRAWING** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19

Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 58** Overall Score: 81.82


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	44.83%	461.5
	1. Subject time wreage	Good	14.13	23	39.66%	324.99
		Fair	10.28	7	12.07%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.45%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	17	29.31%	246.5
		Good	11.81	24	41.38%	283.44
		Fair	8.11	15	25.86%	121.65
		Poor	2.01	Opted         Opted           26         44           23         39           7         12           0         0%           2         3.4           17         29           24         41           15         25           0         0%           2         3.4           6         10           0         0%           2         3.4           7         12           42         72           10         17           6         10           26         44           25         43           4         6.9           3         5           41         70           8         13           9         15           29         50           23         39           1         1.7           5         8.6           47         81           5         8.6           47         81           5         8.6           47         81           5         8	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.45%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	39.66%	172.5
		Good	5.71	27	46.55%	154.17
		Fair	4.04	6	10.34%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2		4.42
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85		67.24%	228.15
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	_		36.6
		More than 25	1.91			3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64			11.48
		No	4.00	_		168
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	_		6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65			9.9
2.		Excellent	9.25	_		240.5
~	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34			158.5
		Inadequate	2.50	_		10
		Can't Judge	3.16	_	12.07% 0% 3.45% 29.31% 41.38% 25.86% 0% 3.45% 39.66% 46.55% 10.34% 67.24% 17.24% 12.07% 72.41% 17.24% 10.34% 44.83% 43.1% 6.9% 5.17% 70.69% 13.79% 15.52% 50% 39.66% 1.72% 8.62% 81.03% 8.62% 0% 10.34% 82.76% 3.45% 8.62% 5.17% 37.93% 39.66% 15.52%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75			194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34			10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40			12.6
		Yes	6.88	_	_	199.52
2	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89		+	89.47
		No No	0.74	_		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78			8.9
		Pleasant	6.75			317.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78		_	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28			0
		Can't Judge	1.26			+
		Sincere	11.50	_		11.64 552
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere		_	-	
			1.33	_		2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5		25.3
		Blank	4.03	3	_	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	-	247.5
		Good	9.03	23	-	207.69
		Fair	6.46	9		58.14
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	6.9%	8.12

# **Strength and Weakness**

• Subject knowledge

## **Special Remarks**

• pleasent teaching





# **Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering**

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



## Staff: SMT. BINDHU BERNARD

**Subject: MEL203 - MATERIALS TESTING LAB** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021
Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021
Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19
Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 56 Overall Score: 71.63

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	20	35.71%	355
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	30.36%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	4	7.14%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	15	26.79%	120
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	18	32.14%	261
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	32.14%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	4	7.14%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	16	28.57%	65.44
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	32.14%	135
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	20	35.71%	114.2
		Fair	4.04	2	3.57%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	1.79%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	15	26.79%	33.15
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	51.79%	169.65
		10 to 25	3.66	3	5.36%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.57%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	22	39.29%	36.08
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	31	55.36%	124
		Yes	0.67	3	5.36%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	22	39.29%	36.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	39.29%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	16	28.57%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	18	32.14%	56.88
		Just Right	4.75	33	58.93%	156.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.57%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	21	37.5%	29.4
		Yes	6.88	26	46.43%	178.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	16.07%	35.01
		No	0.74	1	1.79%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	20	35.71%	35.6
	4 Debesies of the Association	Pleasant	6.75	35	62.5%	236.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.79%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	20	35.71%	38.8
	5 Cincepity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36	64.29%	414
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	9	16.07%	45.54
		Blank	4.03	11	19.64%	44.33
	Consult Translation of Control	Excellent	11.25	21	37.5%	236.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	16	28.57%	144.48
		Fair	6.46	2	3.57%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	17	30.36%	34.51

### Strength and Weakness

- can't judge
- This teacher wasn't there for teaching us

# **Special Remarks**

• unable to judge

**Head of The Department** 





Staff: DR. JAYAKUMAR E.

**Subject: EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 60** Overall Score: 78.25


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	41.67%	443.75
	1. Subject This weage	Good	14.13	23	38.33%	324.99
		Fair	10.28	7	11.67%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	5	8.33%	17.65
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	18	30%	261
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	23	38.33%	271.63
		Fair	8.11	12	20%	97.32
		Poor	2.01	6	10%	12.06
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.67%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	36.67%	165
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	28	46.67%	159.88
		Fair	4.04	4	6.67%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	5	8.33%	5.65
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.67%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	63.33%	222.3
	4. Approximate /0 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	10	16.67%	36.6
		More than 25	1.91	5	8.33%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	11.67%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	43	71.67%	172
		Yes	0.67	10	16.67%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	11.67%	11.55
2	1. Tanahana akilitu ta anganiga laatuna	Excellent	9.25	19	31.67%	175.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	29	48.33%	183.86
		Inadequate	2.50	8	13.33%	20
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.67%	12.64
	2 Smood of magantation	Just Right	4.75	43	71.67%	204.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	6	10%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	11	18.33%	15.4
	2. Dogg the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	35	58.33%	240.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	20	33.33%	77.8
		No	0.74	1	1.67%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	6.67%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	73.33%	297
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	8.33%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	8.33%	6.4
		Can't Judge	1.94	6	10%	11.64
	5 Cimponity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	76.67%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	5	8.33%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8	13.33%	40.48
		Blank	4.03	1	1.67%	4.03
	( Occupation of Station	Excellent	11.25	19	31.67%	213.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	24	40%	216.72
		Fair	6.46	12	20%	77.52
		Poor	1.56	4	6.67%	6.24
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.67%	2.03

# **Strength and Weakness**

• proper teaching

## **Special Remarks**

• Teaching skill







Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

**Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING** 

Session Name: Teacher Evaluation Jan2021 Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K19 Session Id: TE-SN452-Jan-2021 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 60** Overall Score: 93.61

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	42	70%	745.5
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	16	26.67%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	1	1.67%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.67%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	73.33%	638
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	23.33%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	2	3.33%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	46	76.67%	345
	3. reachers winningness to ricip	Good	5.71	13	21.67%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	1	1.67%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85	54	90%	315.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.67%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.33%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	5%	4.92
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	54		216
		Yes	0.67	5		3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	1		1.65
2	1 T. 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	Excellent	9.25	47		434.7
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	12		76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	_	3.16
	2.6 1.6	Just Right	4.75	55	70% 26.67% 1.67% 0% 73.33% 23.33% 3.33% 0% 0% 76.67% 21.67% 0% 0% 90% 1.67% 3.33%	261.2
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.40	5		7
	2 D 4 4 1	Yes	6.88	46		316.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	_	42.79
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	2		3.56
	4. Dehavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	57		384.7
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	_	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	_	3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	58	_	667
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0		0
		Blank	4.03	1		4.03
	6 Overall Tanaking affactives	Excellent	11.25	43		483.7
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14		126.4
		Fair	6.46	3		19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0		0

### Strength and Weakness

• Effective teaching

## **Special Remarks**

• Better presentation

